# TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit):\_\_\_

2. Project Name: Suicide Creek Culvert Ro	3. County: Douglas			
4. Project Sponsor: BLM (Ed Richardson	5. Date:			
6. Sponsor's Phone Number: (541) 440-4	1930			
7. Sponsors E-mail:				
8. Project Location (attach project area map)	1			
a. 4 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if				
b. 5 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if				
c. Legal Location: Township 29 Range 8	Section(s) 1 Lot 6	(See map for more details)		
	1 D			
Description: Culvert Replacement for Fig.		4 C 4 D		
d. BLM District Roseburg	e. BLM Resource A			
f. National Forest	g. Forest Service D	District		
h. State / Private / Other lands involved?	Yes -Private □ N	Io		
on private land. Approximately 4.5 miles of habitat would be opened for anadromous fish species (coho salmon, steelhead and sea-run cutthroat). These culverts create a total blockage to upstream migration of fish, including coastal coho salmon, cutthroat, steelhead and native trout. <b>2.</b> Provide a safe crossing for local homeowners and recreating public.				
<b>10. Project Description:</b> (Provide concise description of project and attach map.) Remove 2 existing culverts at this site and replace with 1 structure which provides fish passage. The culvert will require some work outside the BLM's easement on a small landowner's property. An agreement is needed for this work.				
11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?				
☐ Yes ☑ No If yes, then describe				
12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]				
☐ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]				
☐ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]				
☐ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]				
☐ Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]				

**03/06/2** 

## TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

<b>13. Project Type</b> (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]				
X□ Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	☐ Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]			
☐ Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	☐ Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]			
☐ Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]				
☐ Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	☐ Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]			
☐ Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	☐ Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]			
☑ Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	☐ Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]			
☐ Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]				
☐ Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:				

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]				
a. Total Acres:	b. Total Miles: 4.5 miles of habitat opened up			
c. No. Structures: 1	d. Est. People Reached: 3 residences and			
	Mooney Boy Scout Camp			
e. No. Laborer Days:				
	(for environmental education projects):			
f. Other (specify):				

#### 15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)]

1 summer work season

#### 16. Target Species Benefitted: (if applicable)

coastal coho salmon, steelhead, cutthroat, and native trout.

#### 17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?

[Sec. 2(b)(3)]

Enable safer residence and Boy Scout access

18.

#### How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities.

Safe stream crossing structure for users.

#### 19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

See #9

Safe road access to Public lands for resource management.

03/06/2

# TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

20. Status of Project Planning						
a. NEPA Complete:	⊠ Yes	□ No				
If no, give est. date of completion:						
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	x Yes	⊠ No				
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	X Yes	□No				
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	□ Yes	□ No	☑ Not Applicable			
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtain	ned: ☐ Yes	No	x Not Applicable			
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	□ Yes	No	x Not Applicable			
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	□ Yes	□ No	☑ Not Applicable			
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	□ Yes	⊠ No				
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer						
21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment						
⊠ Contract	☐ Federal Workforce					
☐ County Workforce	□ Volunteers	Volunteers				
☐ Other (specify):						
22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)]  ☐ Yes ☒ No						
23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]						
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$246,400 (includes \$26.400 BLM costs)						
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? $\square$ Yes X $\square$ No $\square$ If yes, then display by fiscal year						
c. FY02 Request: \$246,400 f. FY05 Request:						
d. FY03 Request:	g. FY06 Reques					
e. FY04 Request:						

03/06/2

## TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

**Table 1. Project Cost Analysis** 

Item	Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column B Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column C Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Column D Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys		\$0		
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation		\$0		
26. Permit Acquisition		\$1,500		
27. Project Design & Engineering		\$22,000		
28. Contract Preparation		\$2,500		
29. Contract Administration		\$4,000		
30. Contract Cost		\$250,000		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring				
34. Other				
35. Project Sub-Total				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead)				
(per year for multi-year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate		\$280,000		

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

#### **39. Monitoring Plan** [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

The structure will be monitored during and after construction to ensure that it is constructed to minimize the amount of sediment, maintain fish passage, and potentially pass the 100-year flood.

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

The COR will report the number of person days used by the contractor.

**03/06/2** 4

## TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

N/A

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, item 33):

**03/06/2** 5